

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Cr.Misc. No.24427 of 2008

LAL KISHORE RAM @ LALIT RAM
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR

2. 21.7.2008 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.777C/2007 (Tr. No.200/2008) for the alleged offences registered under sections 406, 420 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the whole complaint has been filed for ulterior purposes even though the complainant was not eligible for being appointed as Angan Bari Sewika in Ward No.24 since as per governmental instruction such married lady who could be appointed, who is the daughter-in-law of the said ward and not the daughter of the said ward. The complainant was having "Maikey" in Ward no.24 and as such she is the daughter and accordingly she was not appointed and the other lady was appointed, who is the daughter-in-law of the said ward. The allegation of demand and payment of Rs.30,000/-



and further Rs.15,000/- is absolutely falsified for the simple reason that the appointment letter was issued on 27th February, 2007 to the selected candidate whereas the allegation of demand and payment was made on 13.3.2007.

Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions, as noticed above, and the materials brought on the record, the petitioner Lal Kishore Ram @ Lalit Ram, in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Complaint Case No.777/2007 within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with the aforesaid case, on the conditions mentioned in sub-section (2) of section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

PNM

(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)